

A Representation of Stable Banach Spaces

FOUAD CHAATIT

Abstract

We show that any separable stable Banach space can be represented as a group of isometries on a separable reflexive Banach space, which extends a result of S. Guerre and M. Levy. As a consequence, we can then represent homeomorphically its space of types.

1 Introduction

Stable Banach spaces have been introduced for the first time by J.L. Krivine and B. Maurey [K.M]. In this famous paper, a rather simple condition on the norm is given to ensure, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any infinite dimensional subspace Y of a given Banach space X , the existence of a further subspace Z of Y that is $(1 + \varepsilon)$ isomorphic to l_p , for some $1 \leq p < \infty$; i.e. there exists an isomorphism $T : l_p \longrightarrow Z$ so that $\|T\|\|T^{-1}\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon)$. This generalized the result of D. Aldous which was established when $X = L_1$ and $1 \leq p \leq 2$. Subsequently, this class has been intensively studied by various authors. We refer the reader to the book by S. Guerre [G] for an extensive survey on stability in Banach spaces. In this note, we are interested in getting a representation for separable stable Banach spaces. In [G.L] S. Guerre and M. Levy characterized the real number p so that a subspace E of L_1 contains l_p , as being the upper bound of the set of all reals q so that E embeds into L_q . Actually, since this number $p(E)$ is also the upper bound of the set of all reals q so that E is of type q -Rademacher, and B. Maurey and G. Pisier [M.P] have proved that $l_{p(E)}$ is finitely representable in E , S. Guerre and M. Levy prove that for any infinite dimensional closed subspace E of L_1 , if l_p is finitely representable in E , then there exist a $q \leq p$ so that E contains a subspace isomorphic to l_q . To do so, S. Guerre and M. Levy used a representation of the norm of subspaces of L_1 and then a representation of the types on these subspaces, (and on their ultraproducts) as an inner product on some Hilbert space. Our results were inspired from this proof but they don't generalize to give a similar result for a general stable Banach space. To see this one can take for example the l_p -sum of l_2^n which is stable, has l_2^n finitely represented, but there exist no $q < p$ such that this space contains an isomorphic copy of l_q . However we do obtain some generalizations of the techniques in [G.L].

In Theorem 1, we obtain that any stable separable Banach space X can be represented homeomorphically as a closed subgroup G , of the group of all isometries on a reflexive separable Banach space R , when G is endowed with the strong operator topology. This is used to represent the types on X in the following way: there exists a homeomorphism T from F_X , the Alexandroff compactification of $\mathcal{F}_X$, the space of types on X , endowed with topology of pointwise convergence on X , to $\tilde{G}$, closure for the weak operator topology of G so that $T_{\sigma\star\tau} = T_{\tau\star\sigma} = T_\sigma \circ T_\tau$ for all types σ and τ on X . This will be Theorem .4. We also obtain that T is actually a homeomorphism between (F_X, s) and $(\tilde{G}, \tau_s)$ where s is the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of X and τ_s is the topology of pointwise convergence on the reflexive Banach space R . This will be Theorem .7.

It would be interesting to investigate if there is a similar representation of the norm of some non stable Banach spaces, in particular when they *don't* contain l_p (Tsirelson's space, Schlumprecht's space or Gower-Maurey's space), and on what kind of spaces do they have such a representation, if there is any.

Acknowledgement: I would like to warmly thank Professor Bernard Maurey for many fruitful discussions and suggestions regarding this work.

2 Definitions and Notations:

Throughout this paper the unit ball $\{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ of a Banach space X will be denoted by BaX . Let X be a separable Banach space. A *type* τ on X is a function $\tau : X \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ which is realized by a sequence $(x_n) \subset X$, meaning that

$$\tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n\|. (*)$$

The sequence (x_n) is then called *type determining*. Note that since the space X was taken to be *separable* the original definition in [K.M] and this one are the same for anytime x_n is a bounded sequence in X there exists a subsequence y_n that satisfies (*). Indeed given x_n bounded in X and D countable dense in X choose y_n a subsequence of x_n so that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + d\|$ exists for all $d \in D$, by a diagonal argument. Then y_n generates a unique type τ .

A Banach space X is called *stable* if whenever (x_n) and (y_n) are type determining then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + x_m\| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n + x_m\|.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}_X$ be the set of all types on X . Several topologies can be defined on $\mathcal{F}_X$.

The weak topology w : this topology is induced by the metric

$$d_w(\tau, \sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \frac{|\tau(r_k) - \sigma(r_k)|}{\|r_k\|}$$

where $\{r_k\}$ is a dense subset of X . Note that τ_n converges to τ for the metric d_w if and only if τ_n converges to τ pointwise on X . Also, if X is separable, the space $\mathcal{F}_X$ is locally compact and separable [K.M].

The strong topology: which is defined by

$$d_s(\tau, \sigma) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \sup_{\|x\| \leq k} |\tau(x) - \sigma(x)|.$$

If X is stable separable then $(\mathcal{F}_X, d_s)$ is separable, see [O] or [R].

The uniform topology: which is defined by

$$d_u(\tau, \sigma) = \sup_{x \in X} |\tau(x) - \sigma(x)|.$$

If X is uniformly stable (see [C]) then $(\mathcal{F}_X, d_u)$ is separable, however $\mathcal{F}_{L_1}$ [H], the space of types on L_1 , as well as $\mathcal{F}_{Ts, [O]}$ the space of types on Tsirelson's space, are separable.

A degenerate type τ_x is a map from X to $[0, \infty)$ defined by $\tau_x(y) = \|x + y\|$. Note that the space of all degenerate types is naturally homeomorphic to X when endowed with the pointwise convergence topology. Usually the space of types on X is viewed as the closure of the set $\{\tau_x : x \in X\}$ in the product topology of R^X . In case X is separable, for all type τ on X , there exists a sequence (x_n) in X so that $\|x_n + y\|$ converges to $\tau(y)$ for all $y \in X$.

For σ and τ two types on a stable separable Banach space X the convolution is defined by:

$$\sigma \star \tau(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|x + x_n + y_m\|$$

where (x_n) determines σ and (y_m) determines τ . We would like to point out that the hypothesis of stability of X is essential here, and that is the reason why these results don't extend to general Banach spaces.

Under the stability hypothesis, the bracket of σ and τ is defined by:

$$[\sigma, \tau] = \sigma \star \tau(0)$$

and the norm of σ is defined by:

$$\|\sigma\| = \sigma(0).$$

Let us now recall some facts about Lions-Peetre interpolation spaces. We refer the reader to [L.P] or [B] for an exhaustive study of these spaces:

An ordered pair (A_0, A_1) of Banach spaces is an *interpolation pair* if these Banach spaces are given as subspaces of a common Hausdorff topological linear space Z so that the embeddings of A_0 and A_1 in Z are continuous. In particular if A_0 embeds in A_1 then one can take A_1 as the common space.

Given an interpolation pair (A_0, A_1) , $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, the interpolation space $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$ is the space of all $z \in A_0 + A_1$ which admit a representation $z = x_1(t) + x_2(t)$, $t \in \mathbf{R}$ so that $e^{\theta t}x_1(t) \in L_p(A_0)$ and $e^{-(1-\theta)t}x_2(t) \in L_p(A_1)$. The norm on this space is defined by

$$\|z\|_{\theta, p} = \inf \{ \|e^{\theta t}x_1(t)\|_p^{1-\theta} \|e^{-(1-\theta)t}x_2(t)\|_p^\theta \text{ over all possible } z = x_1(t) + x_2(t) \text{ } t \in \mathbf{R} \}$$

This norm satisfies the following important inequality which we will refer to as the interpolation inequality: For all $x \in A_0 \cap A_1$ we have

$$\|x\|_{\theta, p} \leq C(\theta, p) \|x\|_{A_0}^{1-\theta} \|x\|_{A_1}^\theta \quad (1)$$

where $C(\theta, p)$ is an absolute constant depending only on θ and p . This follows from the fact that $z = \psi(t)z + (1 - \psi(t))z$ where $\psi(t)$ is so that $0 \leq \psi(t) \leq 1$, $e^{\theta t}\psi(t) \in L_p(\mathbf{R})$ and $e^{-(1-\theta)t}\psi(t) \in L_p(\mathbf{R})$.

An operator T is said to be *bounded from the interpolation pair* (A_0, A_1) *to the interpolation pair* (B_0, B_1) if T is defined on the sum $A_0 + A_1$ and is a bounded operator from A_0 to B_0 and from A_1 to B_1 .

Let us now recall the important interpolation Theorem (see [L.P] or [B]):

Interpolation Theorem: *Let T be a bounded operator from the interpolation pair A_0, A_1 to the interpolation pair (B_0, B_1) . Then, for any $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we have that T is a bounded operator from $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$ to $[B_0, B_1]_{\theta, p}$; furthermore,*

$$\|T\|_{\theta, p} \leq \|T\|_0^{1-\theta} \|T\|_1^\theta$$

where $\|T\|_i$ $i = 0, 1$ is the norm of T as an operator from A_i to B_i .

and the density theorem: [B]

Density Theorem: If $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ then $A_0 \cap A_1$ is dense in $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$.

3 Main result:

Theorem .1 *Let X be a separable stable Banach space. There exists a representation T from X onto a closed subgroup G of the group $\mathcal{U}$ of all the isometries on a separable reflexive space R , where $\mathcal{U}$ is endowed with the strong operator topology, so that $T_{x+y} = T_x \circ T_y$ and $(T_x)^{-1} = T_{-x}$.*

Here the word representation means a group isomorphism that is bicontinuous when X is endowed with the strong operator topology and $\mathcal{U}$ is endowed with the strong operator topology.

To prove this theorem, we first need to establish the following proposition:

Proposition .2 *Let X be a separable stable Banach space, and define an operator $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ from the space of Radon measures on X to the space of bounded continuous functions on X by:*

$$\Phi(\mu)(y) = \int_X e^{-\|x-y\|} \mu(dx)$$

for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $y \in X$. Then the operator Φ is weakly compact.

We first establish the following

Lemma .3 *Let F_X be the Alexandroff compactification of $\mathcal{F}_X$, the space of all types on X ; and let $\hat{f} : F_X \times F_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\hat{f}(\sigma, \tau) = \exp(-[\sigma, -\tau])$ if both σ and τ are in $\mathcal{F}_X$, and $\hat{f}(\sigma, \tau) = 0$ otherwise. Then $\hat{f}$ is separately continuous.*

Proof: Let $f : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by: $f(x, y) = e^{-\|x-y\|}$ for x, y in X . It is clear that f is then separately continuous and satisfies the inequalities

$$f(x, y) \leq e^{-\|x\|} e^{\|y\|} \text{ and } f(x, y) \leq e^{\|x\|} e^{-\|y\|}.$$

By density of X in $\mathcal{F}_X$, we have that $\hat{f} : \mathcal{F}_X \times \mathcal{F}_X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ defined by $\hat{f}(\sigma, \tau) = \exp(-[\sigma, -\tau])$ is separately continuous, since the bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is, and satisfies the inequalities:

$$\hat{f}(\sigma, \tau) \leq \exp(-\|\sigma\|) \exp \|\tau\| \text{ and } \hat{f}(\sigma, \tau) \leq \exp \|\sigma\| \exp(-\|\tau\|).$$

Using the last inequalities one can then extend $\hat{f}$ to $F_X \times F_X$ by setting $\hat{f}(\sigma_\infty, \tau) = 0$ if σ_∞ is the "point at infinity", $\hat{f}(\sigma, \tau_\infty) = 0$ if τ_∞ is the "point at infinity" and $\hat{f}(\sigma_\infty, \tau_\infty) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_\infty} \hat{f}(\sigma_\infty, \tau) = 0$. ■

We are now ready to prove Proposition .2. These ideas were already contained in [K.M] and [R], however we include the proof here for the sake of completeness.

Proof of Proposition.2 Let $\widehat{\Phi}$ be defined on $\mathcal{M}(F_X)$ the space of Radon measures on F_X , with values in $\mathcal{C}(F_X)$, the space of continuous functions on F_X , by

$$[\widehat{\Phi}(\mu)](\tau) = \int_{F_X} \widehat{f}(\sigma, \tau) \mu(d\sigma).$$

Then the operator $\Phi(\mu)$ is just the restriction of $\widehat{\Phi}(\mu)$ to the degenerate types. On the other hand, $\widehat{f}(\sigma, \tau)$ is a separately continuous map on a product of two compact metrizable spaces, and thus is of the first Baire class on the product $F_X \times F_X$ [K.M]. It then follows that g is Borel on $F_X \times F_X$, and by Fubini's theorem, if $\nu \in \mathcal{C}(F_X)^*$ then $\widehat{\Phi}^*(\nu)(\sigma) = \int_{F_X} \exp(-[\sigma, -\tau]) \nu(d\tau)$ for $\sigma \in F_X$. Using Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we get that $\widehat{\Phi}^*(\nu)$ is actually in $\mathcal{C}(F_X)$ i.e $\widehat{\Phi}^* : \mathcal{C}(F_X)^* \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}(F_X)$, an equivalent way of saying that $\widehat{\Phi}^*$ is a weakly compact operator. Now let i be the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{M}(X)$, the space of Radon measures on X , in $\mathcal{M}(F_X) = \mathcal{C}(F_X)^*$; and let r be the operator from $\mathcal{C}(F_X)$ to the space of bounded continuous functions $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ on X . Then $\Phi = r \circ \widehat{\Phi} \circ i$ and this proves the proposition. ■

Proof of the Main Result: Let $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ be the operator defined in the previous proposition. By a result of W.J. Davis T. Figiel W.B. Johnson and A. Pełczyński [D.F.J.P] the weakly compact operator Φ factors through a reflexive space R . More precisely, B. Beauzamy proved in [B] that if a Banach space A_0 embeds in a Banach space A_1 , then the interpolation spaces $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$ for any $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$ are reflexive if and only if the injection of A_0 in A_1 is weakly compact. Let $A_0 = \Phi(\mathcal{M}(X))$ endowed with the norm defined by the gauge of the closed bounded convex symmetric set $\Phi(Ba\mathcal{M}(X))$, and $A_1 = \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ with the uniform norm. Then A_0 is a Banach space whose unit ball is $\Phi(Ba\mathcal{M}(X))$, is isometric to $\mathcal{M}(X)/Ker\Phi$, and the embedding of A_0 in A_1 is weakly compact. The Banach spaces $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$ for all $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$ are then reflexive and Φ factors through these spaces since $\phi = j \circ i \circ \Phi$ where $i : A_0 \longrightarrow [A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$ and $j : [A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p} \longrightarrow A_1$ are the canonical injections.

For each $z \in X$, we define a translation operator on $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ by $T_z f(x) = f(x - z)$ for all $x \in X$. By duality we can also define an operator on $\mathcal{M}(X)$, which we still denote T_z , by

$$\langle T_z \mu, f \rangle = \langle \mu, T_{-z} f \rangle \text{ for all } \mu \in \mathcal{M}(X) \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X).$$

We now verify that T_z commutes with Φ , i.e $T_z(\Phi(\mu)) = \Phi(T_z(\mu))$ for all $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. It suffices for that to see that for all $x \in X$ and $y \in X$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_z(\Phi(\delta_x))(y) &= \Phi(\delta_x)(y - z) \\ &= e^{-\|x - (y - z)\|} \\ &= \Phi(\delta_{x+z})(y) \\ &= \Phi(T_z(\delta_x))(y) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is easily checked since for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ we have that

$$\langle T_z \delta_x, f \rangle = \langle \delta_x, T_z f \rangle = \langle \delta_x, f(\cdot + z) \rangle = \langle \delta_{x+z}, f \rangle.$$

Now the operator T_z is an isometry of $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$, and when restricted to $A_0 = \Phi(\mathcal{M}(X))$ is an operator of norm $\|T_z\|_0 \leq 1$. Using the Interpolation Theorem stated in Section 2, T_z is also an operator on $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$ and its norm satisfies

$$\|T_z\|_{\theta, p} \leq \|T_z\|_0^{1-\theta} \|T_z\|_1^\theta \leq 1.$$

The same argument applied to the operator T_{-z} , inverse operator of T_z , yields $\|T_{-z}\|_{\theta, p} \leq 1$. In other words, T_z is an isometry of the reflexive space $R = [A_0, A_1]_{\theta, p}$.

Let now G be the group of all the isometries T_z , for $z \in X$, endowed with the strong operator topology s . Suppose that y_n converges in norm to y as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $r = \phi(\mu)$ is an arbitrary element of A_0 . Since $T_y(\Phi(\mu)) = \Phi(T_y(\mu))$, we have that $T_{y_n} r - T_y(r) \in A_0$ and

$$\|T_{y_n} r - T_y(r)\|_{A_0} \leq 2\|r\|_{A_0} \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, by definition of the norm in A_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{y_n} r - T_y(r)\|_{A_1} &= \sup_{z \in X} |r(z - y_n) - r(z - y)| \\ &= \sup_{z \in X} \{ \phi(\mu + \nu)(z - y_n) - \Phi(\mu + \nu)(z - y) \} : \nu \in \text{Ker} \Phi \\ &\leq \|\mu + \nu\| \|y_n - y\| \text{ for all } (\nu \in \text{Ker} \Phi) \end{aligned}$$

But since A_0 is isometric to $\mathcal{M}(X)/\text{Ker} \Phi$, we have $\|\Phi(\mu)\|_{A_0} = \inf\{\|\mu + \nu\|, \Phi(\nu) = 0\}$, and so

$$\|T_{y_n} r - T_y(r)\|_{A_1} \leq \|r\|_{A_0} \|y_n - y\| \quad (3)$$

Combining (2) ,(3) and the interpolation inequality of section 2, we get

$$\|T_{y_n}r - T_y(r)\|_{\theta,p} \leq C(\theta,p)2^{1-\theta}\|r\|_{A_0}\|y_n - y\|_{A_1}^\theta. \quad (4)$$

Since the group G is equi-continuous and A_0 is dense in $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta,p}$, this inequality shows that for any $r \in [A_0, A_1]_{\theta,p}$ we have $T_{y_n}r \longrightarrow T_y r$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ by Ascoli's theorem.

We now show that G is sequentially closed. Suppose indeed that T_{y_n} converges strongly to an operator S . For any $r \in R$ the sequence $T_{y_n}r$ is Cauchy, in particular when $r = \Phi(\delta_0)$. Using the embedding of R in $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{y_n}r - T_{y_m}r\|_R &\geq C^{-1}\|T_{y_n}r - T_{y_m}r\|_\infty \\ &= C^{-1}\|\exp(-\|y_m - \cdot\|) - \exp(-\|y_n - \cdot\|)\|_\infty \\ &\geq |\exp(-\|y_n - y_m\|) - 1| \end{aligned}$$

Thus y_n is Cauchy in X ; so letting $y = \lim_{n \longrightarrow \infty} y_n$ and using the previous arguments we get that $T_{y_n}r \longrightarrow T_y r$ for any $r \in R$, and since also $T_{y_n}r \longrightarrow S$, we have that $T_y = S$ i.e G is sequentially closed.

We now show that the reflexive space $R = [A_0, A_1]_{\theta,p}$ is separable provided $0 < \theta < 1$ and $1 < p < \infty$. Recall that $A_1 = \mathcal{C}_b(X)$ while $A_0 = \Phi(\mathcal{M}(X)) = r \circ \hat{\Phi} \circ i(\mathcal{M}(X))$ where i is the canonical embedding of $\mathcal{M}(X)$, the space of Radon measures on X , in $\mathcal{M}(F_X)$ and r the restriction to the types realized in X defined from $\mathcal{C}(F_X)$ to the space of bounded continuous functions $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ on X . Then $i(A_0)$ is separable for the $\mathcal{C}(F_X)$ norm, and therefore A_0 is separable for the $\mathcal{C}_b(X) = A_1$ norm. Let then a_n be a dense sequence in A_0 for the A_1 norm. Let $r \in BaR$ and pick $r_1 \in BaA_0$ so that $\|r - r_1\|_{\theta,p} < \varepsilon/2$. Since A_0 embeds densely in $[A_0, A_1]_{\theta,p}$, there exists m so that $\|r_1 - \frac{a_m}{\|a_m\|_{A_1}}\|_{A_1}^\theta < \varepsilon/2C(\theta,p)^{-1}2^{\theta-1}$. Using the interpolation inequality of section 2, we get that $\|r_1 - \frac{a_m}{\|a_m\|_{A_1}}\|_{\theta,p} < \varepsilon/2$. Therefore R is separable.

Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the group of all isometries of R . If $(h_n)_n$ is a dense subset of R , then $d(S, T) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n}\|Sh_n - Th_n\|_R$ for $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(R)$ is a distance that is uniformly equivalent on $\mathcal{U}$ to the uniform structure of pointwise convergence on R , since $\mathcal{U}$ is equicontinuous. Therefore G is closed. Finally to see that T^{-1} is continuous, it suffices to repeat the same argument used to show that G is closed. So X is homeomorphic to a group of isometries on the reflexive space R . ■

Remark

If S is the symmetrization operator defined on $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ by $Sf(x) = f(-x)$ for $x \in X$ then S is an isometry of R so that $ST_x = T_{-x}S$.

Indeed S commutes with Φ and with the same argument used for the T'_x s we can define S as an isometry of R . Furthermore, if $f \in R$ and $z \in X$ then $T_{-x}Sf(z) = T_{-x}f(-z) = f(-z+x) = f(-(z-x)) = ST_xf(z)$.

Let us now consider the space $\mathcal{F}_X$ of all types on a separable stable Banach space X . Denote by $\tilde{G}$ the closure of the group G for the weak operator topology. Recall that this topology $\tau_{R \times R^*}$ is generated by the family of semi-norms $p_{r,r^*}(T) = |\langle Tr, r^* \rangle|$ where $r \in R$ and $r^* \in R^*$. Our goal here is to represent $\mathcal{F}_X$ in a similar way as it is done by S. Guerre and M. Levy in [G.L].

Theorem .4 *Let X be a separable stable Banach space. There exists a homeomorphism T from (F_X, w) onto $(\tilde{G}, \tau_{R \times R^*})$ so that:*

- *If σ_∞ is the "infinite type" then $T(\sigma_\infty) = 0$ and reciprocally, if $T\sigma = 0$ then σ is the infinite type.*
- *If S is the symmetrization operator then $S \circ T_{-\sigma} = T_\sigma \circ S$.*
- *If σ and τ are two types on X then $T_{\sigma*\tau} = T_{\tau*\sigma} = T_\sigma \circ T_\tau$.*

Proof: Let $\sigma \in F_X$ be a type defined by a sequence (x_n) and an ultrafilter $\mathcal{V}$. Since R is a separable reflexive space, the unit ball of $(\mathcal{L}(R), \tau_{R \times R^*})$ is compact metrizable, and so is $(\tilde{G}, \tau_{R \times R^*})$. Let T_σ be the operator so that $\langle T_\sigma r, r^* \rangle = \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{x_n} r, r^* \rangle$. Let $r = \Phi(\delta_y)$ and $r^* = j^*(\delta_z)$ where j is the natural embedding of $[\Phi(\mathcal{M}(X)), \mathcal{C}_b(X)]_{\theta, p}$ in $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$. The operator T_σ satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_\sigma \Phi(\delta_y), j^*(\delta_z) \rangle &= \langle jT_\sigma \Phi(\delta_y), \delta_z \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle jT_{x_n} \Phi(\delta_y), \delta_z \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} e^{-\|x_n + y - z\|} \\ &= e^{-\sigma(y-z)} \end{aligned}$$

(In case σ_∞ is the infinite type, we have by what precedes that each $\langle T_{\sigma_m} \Phi(\delta_y), j^*(\delta_z) \rangle = e^{-\sigma_m(y-z)}$ where $\sigma_m \longrightarrow \sigma$.)

So to show that the operator T_σ depends only on σ , that T is continuous, and $T_{\sigma_\infty} = 0$ it suffices to show the following:

Lemma .5 *Under the same hypothesis as above, the subset $A = \{\Phi(\delta_y) \mid y \in X\}$ (respectively $A^* = \{j^*(\delta_z), z \in X\}$) is total in R (respectively in R^*).*

Proof: It is well known that $\{\delta_y, y \in X\}$ is weak-* total in $Ba\mathcal{M}(X)$. By weak compactness of Φ , the set $W = \Phi(Ba\mathcal{M}(X))$ is weakly compact in $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ so $A = \{\Phi(\delta_y) \mid y \in X\}$ is weakly total in W , which is convex, so in fact $A = \{\Phi(\delta_y) \mid y \in X\}$ is total for the $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$ norm, in W . By density of W in BaR , if $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\psi \in BaR$ there exists $\eta \in W$ so that $\|\psi - \eta\|_R < \varepsilon/2$; and by totality in norm of A in W there exist $(a_i)_{i=1}^n$ reals and $(y_i)_{i=1}^n$ in X so that $\|\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi(\delta_{y_i})\|_{\mathcal{C}_b(X)}^\theta < \varepsilon/2C(\theta, p)^{-1}2^{\theta-1}$. The totality of $A = \{\Phi(\delta_y) \mid y \in X\}$ follows then from the interpolation inequality of Section 2, for

$$\|\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi(\delta_{y_i})\|_{\theta, p} \leq C(\theta, p) \|\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi(\delta_{y_i})\|_{\cup_n W}^{1-\theta} \times \|\eta - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \Phi(\delta_{y_i})\|_{\mathcal{C}_b(X)}^\theta.$$

Now for the set $A^* = \{j^*(\delta_z), z \in X\}$, it is weak-* total in BaR^* . Since R is reflexive, A is weakly total in BaR^* , which is convex so A^* is in fact total in norm. This proves the lemma. $\blacksquare$

Now to see that T^{-1} is continuous, it suffices to observe that if $T_{\sigma_n} \longrightarrow T_\sigma$ for the weak operator topology then for all $y \in X$ we have that

$$\langle T_{\sigma_n} \Phi(\delta_y), j^*(\delta_0) \rangle = e^{-\sigma_n(y)} \longrightarrow e^{-\sigma(y)}.$$

Part 2 of the Theorem follows from the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle ST_{-\sigma} r, r^* \rangle &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle ST_{-x_n} r, r^* \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{-x_n} r, S^* r^* \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{-x_n} S^2 r, S^* r^* \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle ST_{-x_n} S r, S^* r^* \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{-x_n} S r, S^* S^* r^* \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{-x_n} S r, r^* \rangle \\ &= \langle T_\sigma S r, r^* \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Part 3 of the Theorem follows from the following lemma and the fact that $T_\sigma T_\tau = T_{\sigma * \tau}$ in our case. We will prove the later fact after Lemma 6.

Lemma .6 *Let G be an abelian group of isometries on a reflexive Banach space R . Let S and T two elements of the closure $\tilde{G}$ for the weak operator topology. Then $ST = TS$.*

Proof: For all $r \in R$ and $r^* \in R^*$ we easily have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle STr, r^* \rangle &= \langle Tr, S^*r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \langle T_\alpha r, S^*r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \langle ST_\alpha r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \langle S_\beta T_\alpha r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_\alpha S_\beta r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \langle T_\alpha S_\beta r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \langle S_\beta r, T_\alpha^* r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \langle S_\beta r, T_\alpha^* r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\alpha, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_\alpha S_\beta r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \langle TS_\beta r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{\beta, \mathcal{V}} \langle S_\beta r, T^* r^* \rangle \\
&= \langle T Sr, T^* r^* \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 6. To see that $T_\sigma T_\tau = T_{\sigma*\tau}$ let $r = \Phi(\delta_y)$ and $r^* = j^*(\delta_z)$ where j is the natural embedding of $[\Phi(\mathcal{M}(X)), \mathcal{C}_b(X)]_{\theta, p}$ in $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$. Let also x_n and y_m be determining sequences for the types σ and τ respectively. We then have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle T_\sigma T_\tau r, r^* \rangle &= \langle T_\tau r, (T_\sigma)^* r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{m, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{y_m} r, (T_\sigma)^* r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{m, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_\sigma T_{y_m} r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{m, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{x_n} T_{y_m} r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{m, \mathcal{V}} \langle T_{x_n + y_m} r, r^* \rangle \\
&= \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{m, \mathcal{V}} \langle j T_{x_n + y_m} \Phi(\delta_y), \delta_z \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n, \mathcal{U}} \lim_{m, \mathcal{V}} \exp -\|x_n + y_m + y - z\| \\
&= \exp -\sigma * \tau(y - z) \\
&= \langle T_{\sigma * \tau} \Phi(\delta_y), j^*(\delta_z) \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

The fact mentioned above follows then from Lemma 5. ■

Let us now turn to comparing the strong topology on types and the strong operator topology τ_s on the representation group G . Let us recall that Y. Raynaud proved in [R] that the topology s of uniform convergence on bounded subsets of X on the space of types $\mathcal{F}_X$ is separable provided the space X is stable separable.

Theorem .7 *Let X be a separable stable Banach space and let T be the representation of F_X . If (σ_n) is a sequence of types in F_X then (σ_n) converges for the topology s to a type σ if and only if T_{σ_n} converges strongly to T_σ .*

Proof: Suppose that $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ for the topology s . This means that for any positive real M we have that $d_M(\sigma_n, \sigma) = \sup_{\|x\| \leq M} |\sigma_n(x) - \sigma(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider now the contractions T_{σ_n} and T_σ . Since we have seen before that the family $\Phi(\delta_x)$ was total in X , it suffices to show that $T_{\sigma_n} \Phi(\delta_x) = T_{\sigma_n} T_x \Phi(\delta_0) = T_x T_{\sigma_n}(\Phi(\delta_0))$ converges in R , i.e. if $U_x = \Phi(\delta_x)$ then $T_{\sigma_n} U_0$ converges to $T_\sigma U_0$ in R . Now each T_{σ_n} and T_σ are weak limits of elements in $\Phi(\mathcal{M}(X))$, which is convex, so its weak closure is the same as its closure for the norm defined by its gauge. Therefore $\|T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0\|_{A_0} \leq 2$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0\|_{\mathcal{C}_b(X)} &= \sup_{y \in X} |(T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0)(y)| \\
&= \sup_{y \in X} | \langle j(T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0), \delta_y \rangle | \\
&= \sup_{y \in X} | \langle T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0, j^*(\delta_y) \rangle | \\
&= \sup_{y \in X} |e^{-\sigma_n(-y)} - e^{-\sigma(-y)}|
\end{aligned}$$

But the last quantity tends to zero as n tends to infinity since on the bounded sets of X that is the convergence in the s sense, while outside the bounded sets, this is a property of the exponential function. We then conclude the proof of one direction using the interpolation inequality

$$\|T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0\|_R \leq C(\theta, p) 2^{1-\theta} \|T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0\|_{\mathcal{C}_b(X)}^\theta.$$

For the converse, if $T_{\sigma_n} \rightarrow T_\sigma$ in R as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $j(T_{\sigma_n} U_0) \rightarrow j(T_\sigma U_0)$ in $\mathcal{C}_b(X)$. But for that norm we clearly have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|j(T_{\sigma_n} U_0) - j(T_\sigma U_0)\|_{\mathcal{C}_b(X)} &\geq | \langle (T_{\sigma_n} U_0 - T_\sigma U_0), \delta_{-x} \rangle | \\ &= |e^{-\sigma_n(x)} - e^{-\sigma(x)}| \\ &= |e^{-\sigma(x)} [e^{-\sigma_n(x) + \sigma(x)} - 1]| \\ &\geq e^{-M - \|\sigma\|} |e^{-\sigma_n(x) + \sigma(x)} - 1| \end{aligned}$$

if we suppose that $\|x\| \leq M$. Thus $d_M(\sigma_n, \sigma) = \sup_{\|x\| \leq M} |\sigma_n(x) - \sigma(x)| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. ■

References

- [A] D. Aldous, “Subspaces of L_1 via Random Measures” Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **267** (1981).
- [B] B. Beauzamy “Espaces d’interpolation reels: topologie et geometrie” Lect. Notes in Math **666** (1978).
- [C] F. Chaatit, “Twisted Types and Uniform Stability”, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1470** (1990), 183-199.
- [D.F.J.P] W.J. Davis, T. Figiel, W.B. Johnson and A. Pelczynski “Factoring Weakly Compact Operators” J. Funct. Analysis **17** (1974) 311-327.
- [G] S. Guerre, “Classical Sequences in Banach Spaces” Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992.
- [G.L] S. Guerre et M. Levy, “Espaces l_p dans les sous espaces de L_1 ” Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. vol **279** n 2 (1983).
- [H] R. Haydon, “Darboux Integrability and Separability of Types” Publi. Math. de l’Univ. Paris VI-Paris VII. Séminaire de géométrie des espaces de Banach (1984).
- [K.M] J.L. Krivine et B. Maurey, “Espaces de Banach stables” Israel J. of Math. Vol **39** n 4 (1981).
- [L.P] J.L. Lions et J. Peetre, “Sur une classe d’espaces d’interpolations” Publications mathématiques de l’IHES, n **19** (1964).

- [M.P] B. Maurey et G. Pisier “Séries de variables aléatoires indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach.” *Studia Math.* **58**(1976), 45-90.
- [O] E. Odell. “On the Types in Tsirelson’s Space” Longhorn Notes. The University of Texas at Austin, 1983, 49-59.
- [R] Y. Raynaud “Separabilité uniforme de l’espace des types d’un espace de Banach. Quelques exemples. ” *Publi. Math. de l’Univ. Paris VII. Séminaire de géométrie des espaces de Banach* (1983). Tome II.

School of Sciences and Engineering
 Al Akhawayn University in Ifrane
 Ifrane 53000 Morocco.